



Plagiarism Checker X Originality Report

Similarity Found: 1%

Date: Kamis, Juni 18, 2020

Statistics: 27 words Plagiarized / 1867 Total words

Remarks: Low Plagiarism Detected - Your Document needs Optional Improvement.

ISSN 1916-4742 1916-4750 Pulse ain etroScee nEcto 90 Exploring th - A Preliminary Step to Teach Translation in EFL Classes Roi Siregar 1 1 a ritas IAArMealnesiaE-il rses_o.co Received: July 3, 2018 d: August Online Pub ://di/1/elt.v11n9 As e e aworld ere engis danlangue prt f r aily es, ecter nopies at eals Tstudies ninr f trann-bsedlearn ities nlis Foeig Bulittle n onsia.

h im f is tudis xp prcep co y linustionnvGole rm s. e nne ompises u that overs studen ts in anslation, m materials, orse g ectio netoa e urse. on ata alysis esult, s prcep williness I ntrann de. Studenperception cffteacher hlp e studt to learning and dev ptrans course d n inivg teaching, translation, learni Many FL inIndonesia hesitate teach trslatioteach duinlish eparn(rd012 ; ai, 7)Ahhh st fthbe thtranlatioas n ect of uagausitio at u stuenwill e tiv d e urse.

e inreaso n stuenrely anslatioauman technologies machine sla tion ch s translate s chthmamaul s chin pairinity – In ur lobalised ith tilingual translation is a ul skt jr proessionrs and academi Thin f s-bsedlearng ities E decadesfew ranslation is worthe dtoe inr and cticed in EFclassroom, dspno e eestab thdoogy sid s Ead19; 1; 19; 19;). eth tten ts pagoitolin learning, process men otivating because f in re200.

er n g 00214) arguaslatint a ninnte skae le arnd andegtia,o nitivdrmativ Althh ere seapbt anslatioin cm, Calis nDilitas 0125) ort e rforignlanguag learn by trslatio By into the thptionontranlationas sefutools gue rn.Ts, etwospqestios ill e addressed: translation ly non-translation o students tivated learn anslation 91 iocanbabidsigtrslatiocose adaspt urer ttet ev e slat y settin.

2. Literature Review 2.1 Students Perception on Translation designing e model the outco

hstudis eate e enption erepn isc EFL s depicted outhse, its cont a ndectiv its level of dicuthti tuets e g 149) founthsimianderenb eanstud learning. alsosuggest 2.2

Problems in Incorporating Translation into Non-Translation Class thqunwetrslationis avle n non-translation class, and do th t benf -tranlatio mab vegactiv nag t e me countwoma issufirst athfthlearng ow pw ranlatiodprob noexthe ame leari elanagitseltaougthgohnd--h. e cond, is ital dwteaching trann tod btobued lo ith e ha dopted tranln with teaching, Vermes 2: -8argedthanessenrequ oner slatio vliddactic ois dgupaical real sn termsof un, e ect eingdwithanthe cally stalsofar thtranlatedtexis econd languag . 2.3

Emphasizing the Benefit of Translation Wh sk outh oce languag ill, e e micmmuity otat. slatio prceivd as the fifth langu skesid th oer fr bas ic sking pineadg, w. Trposited a pecial tage f teaching. s iotanci skce it pmommucatio ue They elieve sopare wtalent bin teachabbit acaconsequently teachable are crafBe lieving translation a teachable teachershould their tudents vfr topy tio sn thwhsaen eir slationskThshld n repring als o in tu uly e livgouof estuies in u s e rf or act f anotans Poic (2: 2)deurps translation teaching inain Engn oer words to ent a so gd foild tranlationills.

, teachers assure hat dts otak ecrse practice translating much plmuaim, least, deve tou th feignag Calis an Dik12083hsis on thenfits of tr Th thactivwere t esiged tham f rarinbe 92 vclarbuildg von(5)ss at nlishlearer ul anlearning wh ich complement istinpaical rowf thinro conastiv analysis. e terference een L1 d FL buse d promolangauge (in 2.4

Student Motivation As tivn cosered eof e rlead cce ss, e ers tuiedthactiv dvloe couse des igs Littlewood987) o servat inFL learnng as in evry oer f the critical force which d mines whether a learner embarks on a task at all, how ccess, rsity, efslatio d of learn situn rces imt t ince a ngity and stuen ine. studt movtiofeny bota external factors that can sustain, inten 3.1

Place and Participants – A rvey as ed o le e enp repocuenEnlishsubjlnng moivtio terest in translatio inge articip ts nthsy ere undergrads learning at of years infomaedn s eir lishpflevels re conid itabfor is tuAll pticip dts t ofill t e estionaand ttendth minry slatio tht oktwo sessioithtal ti s. Tis studaduted in ove 2. 3.2

Instruments and Data Collection The of percep was ministered y co f20semi-struturedqusw tuoclo tio nt, ulnof snsks g methods; students and length expectation. extensive ature a of peci ficatio th itial as reatedto id nstuds' etioandexpnon trann in classroo 3.3 Administration to Students le orm dtedbeil undergraduate who andvnlisted eir il n epolationlist ? =?59F ocuteEFL

earnngThspwpiedto acilitate 4 Rlt ad iscuq qan qulity odenptio tivnn 93 Qunn tion insn 1 lotane ngsuect o trann 3ing itin 2 Trassignment h 3 an o1any u dn ary/oe da C.

Studts ptio ulnstio ill 4 ility oslatiostud urth 3ill stueneed foiger edun D. Preferred tranlatiothdd materil 6 ittenmaal intran:) Genral texeapr a 2) Literary texovels, drama, poetry) , legal and scientific texts) 8 1) S tudent – teacher interactio 3kgr / dssion F.et williness andcourse lengecattio 9 gndth e tranncourse 4.1

Student Perception on Importance of English Subject Matters in Translation tanin slatio re folisteng 3.7ing1 (80%) , readg 20 73%), and writin g (73.2. tane Enlish jtotranlationWriting skill is p . Students opinion dict that thy are aware abt th g writtenortan written mmuicationSome dts cludit be fit pfsuas ngago lishksa ortanin 94 rmatio are tak (Deve82n thtud spinga in ill p sdandt lace. on nnaire es3(58.9%) stueneeaingills wotane stu cod nhing oppities a paidandin ndingst intranslatio.

thnew, t doesnmuhconibuto trann ill. thoe tudnwrotht d o readin says that they 4.2 Students Experience on Translation Assignment Fig. Studts exerienn trann assigmen Mache ann/software uin gstuents GoogTranlatototranlat urce ts etexbo ng tolesianandvvera. p rsponed qunnehowe studts asooga 95 4.3 Students Perception on Usefullness of Translation Skill o sresponsouthstudts ercep n s responded at n elphm indbtter b r trann ill ethm ind rinrmatio El bliev tht trann sk ne hher edcation Fig. Studts ptionn ullnf translatio i ll 4.4 Preferred Translation Methods and Materials A.

Tranlatiod Grammar a escripof I anguagstructure the coin odusences elanguag. st f dts eliev at grammar is important. Grammar enles th btter writint sodenin ct tht grammar shout bt in css, it was wastingtime, sce thdents he learnd in ers bliev that learn o nly the gramma andappy ese les espak conn oers in rd flucy. rds to seause this en 96 create inal epresentations f words.We conclude bneveloingg an drr.huis is bin a notice iner study.

The real uess of transla tio inlish e xlog it inrdmprr,ocablary, B. Materials 48 f studen(referred specifict as Whliterary t less r(1%Stuent t dvloinEngconcatio ills spdin e. considto espondents background, text also slation teaching, as text, inan marketing, nand eerg eg2: 81) ives the spvocabularies apoprdtoe or their professional dlin gingportun ities toe career advt inttu 4.5 Preferred Learning Methods and Classroom Interaction methods. Cooperative learning (91.1

%) edlevo ility re rrannedin ros Johnson sthat responsibilities the izes, ein e for rmin s, dfi e tb etoinivid oup mbs, assignments, d the Task-based is learning thmakes ento learning . It asedon e of as counit planning instructio Ta-based offr esdtaopptunt exactly

what (Richards & Rodgers, may earn, and offer B.

Classroom Interaction by teacher. Impact of cognitive, physical, and social learning on teaching language acquisition. Accord e-mail interaction which involves a technique of classroom language interaction is face-to-face with articulation, facial expression, and communication between participants.

and opportunity for production receiving feedback. This is present material clearly. 4.6 Student Willingness and Course Length Expectation Fig. Willingness and course length. The results concerning preferences in illness and mental health are as follows: 97% of students said they had less session by regarding their routine school than students (73.2%). Translation is difficult as expected, and students attend English classes more often than other subjects. The results show that students are more willing to learn English than other subjects.

The analysis of the responses shows that the good punctuation contains grammatical errors, such as incorrect word order, lack of articles, and misspellings. The results also show that students are more willing to learn English than other subjects. The results show that students are more willing to learn English than other subjects.

Long Long Ilicatn f EFL in Secoarcation Ineion O rcia. RLyLA, 08, 388. nts' Compence? Proceeding Calis, & ilitas, . 2). e o sn F L as LearP Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 46 . hs://di/10016/jsp.2.0 Carreres, (2, er). ebTrann anlange each. eting Sixth Symposium on Translation, Terminology and Interpretation in Cuba and Canada. Ccil. Retrievm ttprg/plicatiros_0 Coaer, J. E996.

ativ eaker to N: Cross ing thanslation Gap. In Swi,&ign (Eds.), inTranlation iv Present and Future Perspectives Asoitio r chLguaeS Ellis, (). Second Language Acquisition & Language Pedagogy. Multilingual L hs://di/17S02631105 ELT Forum, 37(1 2. ed lish inCorhnsonCouse. PROFILE, 14 (2 The Practice of English Language Teaching The practice of English language teaching (3 itiog. HrJ. 20. The practice of English language teaching hs://di.org0.109/elt/ccn Teaching Translation and Interpreting 4: Building bridges . John Benjamin Translation Library. hs://di.org0.107/b Volary Inc. TEFLIN Journal, 1 (2 1.

I en ueclassrooAqualitativ stuy f ds d eavrs. Language Teaching Research . hs://dig0.117/1164540 Techniques and Principles in Language Teaching. rdxo UnvsPe

Littlewo, (1). Communicative language teaching: An introduction Press. Joson . (1) Understanding Communication in Second Language Classroom Learng. Retrievm ttp://www.coeratio/hme/in 99 Teaching. Translation Journal, 15(4 Popovic, R. (2001).

Th ranslation in Lane Teachi ng Paper in English Teaching Forum, 37 ReevJ. 2009Wy eAt Cotrog tivg Educational Psychologist . hs://di/10046209289 Richds . & erT. (1) **Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching** 73 RoNJ. 00)Incerce ationinth FL m. Modern English Teacher, 9 (3) Siregar, ecific Purpose Trslation: U English Language Teaching, 10 (7, 1182tppo.org.59elt.v1017 chg.

Journal of King Saud University Language & Translation, 13 (1 Shiyab & Abdulla teeef. (2001). Translation and Foreign Language Teaching. Yangtif. Lingua Didaktika, 11(2 3. Tisg9). Is it ple to tranlatio In e classroom? J. Of College Of Education For Women, 20(2, A Course in Language Teaching. Cambridge : Caridiv CommunicativLanguage Darof Foreign Lanag, ya stituof Vermes, A. (2010). Translation in Foreign Languag Brervew of Pros and Cons.

Eger Journal of English Studies, 10 , -93 Appendix tters intrann Characteristic Ran k 1) Relev g lish sub j 1 in 38 3 ing 05 1 4 itin48 Questionnaire ItemsRespons g y 2 1 Ran k 1 ul tranny usingnry/onictio y 2 inslation412 3 slatioice 29 100 Tale 3. Stuenetionn utility oslatioill Questionnaire Items Resps 4bilityf tra 1 e studns fofuthrin rmatio urces inlish6 2 r 05 3 sken n for hu226 Questionnaire Items Ran k 5) Translation methods 1 rrbsedan 86 2 rrrles 20 6) Written material in translatio 3 al and scientific texts.)7 Questionnaire Items Ran k g 1 Learning 73 2 s k - b asedng 11 8 larooinecion – teachr inaction 412 Tale 6.

Stuengnd course leng ectatio Questionnaire Items Ran 9 g 1 e tranlatio ifficu k 2 gn t o atten slatio 50 10) Exation to time invested k k k 27 ; C = 101 tain be auth f irst pulicatiohrted toe joual. This an article istrributed the condns f e e mmosAtio licenttppecon/liceny/4/).

INTERNET SOURCES:

1% - http://studentsrepo.um.edu.my/3429/5/Chapter_2.pdf

1% - http://www.cttic.org/publications_06Symposium.asp

<1% -

<https://www.amazon.com/Approaches-Methods-Language-Teaching-Cambridge/dp/0521008433>

<1% -

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/journal-of-king-saud-university-languages-and-translation>

